



Case study : “Ex Post Evaluation Cyprus RDP 2007-2013: An Application of Input-Output Analysis”

Psaltopoulos, D., Lianos D., Malliotis S.

Good Practice Workshop “Methods for Assessing Impacts of Rural
Development Programmes 2007 - 2013”

4-5 July 2016
Palermo (Italy)



Presentation Contents

- Context of evaluation
- Methodology
- Working Steps and Data
- Indicative findings
- Strengths and Weaknesses of the method used
- Lessons & recommendations
- Open Issues



Context of evaluation

- This presentation is based on work in progress on the Ex-Post Evaluation of the Cyprus RDP, which was assigned in May 2016.
- Current status: on-going
- Specification of data needs and analytical methods; design and organization of surveys (some surveys have started)
- Collection of analytical raw data from the Information System in order to feed the model and also, generate the samples of the case study surveys.
- Authors are members of the project team of the ex post evaluation of the RDP 2007-2013

Methodology: IO Analysis

- The essence of macro-economic approaches utilized for the evaluation of development policy impacts lie in their capacity to estimate aggregate economic effects.
- Several methods exist; e.g. multiplier analysis, Keynesian income-expenditure model, spatial econometric models, IO, SAM, CGE models.
- IO analysis chosen due to:
 - Being more “sophisticated” than simple multiplier analysis and Keynesian income expenditure models;
 - Being much less difficult to apply (in this project and also by RDP authorities) than SAM and CGE models;
 - Despite its straightforward assumptions, it can generate valid and objective assessments of the economy-wide impacts of RD policy measures.
 - Data requirements are not prohibitive.
 - Can accommodate counterfactual analysis (joint application).

Methodology: IO Analysis

- An adaption of the neoclassical theory of general equilibrium to the empirical study of the quantitative interdependence between interrelated economic activities'.
- A quantitative technique for studying the interdependence of the producing and consuming units within an economy.
- An I/O table identifies the major industries in an economy and the financial flows between them over a stated time period (usually a year).
- It indicates the sources of each sector's inputs, which are purchased from the same or other sectors in the economy, imported, or earned by labour (household's wages and salaries).
- It provides a breakdown for each sector's output, which can be sales to other industries and to final demand (household consumption, government consumption, capital formation, and exports).
- The interdependence between the individual sectors of the given economy is described by a set of linear equations, representing fixed shares of input in the production of each output.

Methodology: IO Analysis

- IO modelling incorporates sectoral analysis into a macroeconomic framework, thus creating a basis for an evaluation of sectoral or/and investment policies to national or regional goals such as GDP, employment and the balance of trade.
- Hence, it provides more general information compared to a partial equilibrium model, which concentrates on one sector and more disaggregated information compared to a “pure” macroeconomic model.
- An IO model can be used to estimate the indirect effects of a change in the level of final demand for the output of a particular sector (impact analysis).
- Effects may be measured as output, income, and employment changes, calculated using sectoral multiplier coefficients, which express the ratio of total effect to the initial change in demand.
- Impact information is available in disaggregated as well as total form, and policy makers can thus be provided with information on which industries or sectors are impacted by a specific event and by how much

Methodology: IO Analysis

- Three types of effect
 - **Investment Effects:** given the structural linkages identified in each economy, financial flows associated with specific RDP measures can be inserted to the IO model in the form of sector-specific exogenous demand shocks. Subsequently, following the traditional Leontief procedure, economy-wide growth generating impacts are estimated for each RDP measure, in terms of average annual output, income and employment effects.
 - **Capacity-adjustment effects:** The procedure for estimating capacity-adjustment effects of Type A and B investments follows the 'mixed exogenous/endogenous variable version of the Leontief model'
 - **Counterfactual:** Same as CA effects but with different input data, i.e. counterfactual analysis estimates on change in GVA.

Methodology: IO Analysis

- Several applications: e.g. Johns and Leat (1987); Midmore (1993; 1998); Psaltopoulos and Thomson (1993); Psaltopoulos et al. (2004); Psaltopoulos and Balamou (2006); ENRD – TWG2 (2010); Skuras et al. (2011); RURAL ECMOD project (2012).
- RDP Measures to Assess:
 - Productive Investments: 121, 122, 123, 311, 312, 313
 - Infrastructure: 125, 321, 322, 323
- Areas of potential application:
 - National
 - Regional (through using various techniques to generate regional IO tables)
- Indicators quantified:
 - Economic growth
 - Employment creation
- Also, Gross cost per job created (not in CMEF, but useful....)

Working Steps and Data

- Step 1: Select measures to assess (M121, M123, M313)
- Step 2: Obtain IO Table. Supply and Use Matrices for 2008 (Eurostat)
- Step 3: Sectoral employment data (Eurostat) – Issue with FTEs
- Step 4: Scope and decide on the disaggregation of Agriculture
 - Criteria: importance in terms of employment, output, number of farms. FADN data used – TF8
 - Cyprus: fieldcrops; wine; other permanent crops; other grazing livestock; mixed; other
- Step 5: Realised expenditure per annum (ideally for whole period and total cost)
 - No data per annum for M121 – Apply annual shares of public expenditure to total cost data (deflate using GFCF deflators)

IO Analysis: Working Steps and Data

- Step 6: Distribution of expenditure according to types of investment (e.g. buildings, machinery, etc.) and farm sub-sector. Per annum
- Step 7: Data on GVA impacts – source: surveys; ideally per type/sector of beneficiary
- Step 8: Run conventional IO model (investment effects).
- Step 9: Run mixed exogenous/endogenous Leontief model (capacity adjustment effects)
- Step 10: Obtain counterfactual data on GVA and run again Step 9

IO Analysis: Summary of data needs

- National/regional IO tables for a year close to 2007
- Data on study area economic structures (output, employment)
- Data on study area agricultural structures
- Study area RDP structure
- FADN data on farm sub-sectors IO structure
- Sectoral employment data (baseline)
- RDP measure annual expenditure data for the 2007-2013 (public expenditure and total)
- Distribution of investment expenditure per measure according to types of investment (e.g. machinery, equipment, construction, etc).
- Data on measure-specific adjustment of productive capacity (e.g. change in GVA or employment) per sector benefiting from investment.

IO Analysis: Indicative Findings - Cyprus

Table 1: Shocks to the Cyprus IO Model, Investment Effects (average annual investment, 2008 prices; ml Euros)

<i>Measures/Sector</i>	121	123	TOTAL			
Construction	8,276	0,506	8,782			
Machinery and Equipment	18,510	3,697	22,207			
TOTAL	26,786	4,203	30,989			

IO Analysis: Indicative Findings - Cyprus

Table 2: Capacity-Adjustment Analysis - National Data: Inputs to the Cyprus IO Model (ml Eur)

<i>Measures/Sector</i>	Increase in GVA per annum	Increase in Output per Annum	Correspond ing Sector	
Measure 121	0,423	0,805	Agriculture	
Measure 123	3,365	19,022	Food Products	

IO Analysis: Indicative Findings - Cyprus

Type of Effect	Change in output	%	Change in GVA	%	Change in Employment (persons)	%
<i>a) Investment Effects</i>						
Measure 121	82,76	0,238	32,36	0,231	1142	0,290
Measure 123	12,64	0,036	4,91	0,035	189	0,048
TOTAL	95,40	0,275	37,27	0,266	1330	0,338
<i>b) Capacity-Adjustment Effects</i>						
Measure 121	1,04	0,003	0,48	0,003	16	0,004
Measure 123	28,06	0,081	7,03	0,050	233	0,059
TOTAL	29,10	0,084	7,51	0,054	249	0,063
<i>c) Total Effects</i>						
Measure 121	83,80	0,241	32,84	0,235	1157	0,294
Measure 123	40,70	0,117	11,94	0,085	422	0,107
TOTAL	124,50	0,359	44,78	0,320	1579	0,402

IO Analysis: Indicative Findings - Cyprus

Table 4: New Jobs Generated per ml Euro of Investment, Cyprus

Type of Effect	New Jobs per ml Euro
<i>a) Investment Effects</i>	
Measure 121	42,62
Measure 123	44,94
TOTAL	42,93
<i>b) Capacity-Adjustment Effects</i>	
Measure 121	0,59
Measure 123	55,45
TOTAL	8,03
<i>c) Total Effects</i>	
Measure 121	43,20
Measure 123	100,40
TOTAL	50,96

Strengths and Weaknesses

Strengths:

- IO models can capture:
 - Scope: multiple economic and social sectors coverage
 - Economic interdependence between producing and consuming sectors within an economy; i.e. how economic structures influence policy outcomes.
 - Simplicity: structure and linear behaviour
 - Data: some is often available (e.g. regional accounts); techniques (e.g. GRIT) for data generation
 - Software: spreadsheet or equivalent
 - Economy-wide impacts distinguished into direct, indirect and induced; and (also) the geographical spread of policy effects.
 - Sectoral characteristics & impacts \Rightarrow creates basis for the evaluation of interdependence and of policy impacts with respect to national or regional goals (policy effectiveness)
 - Both measure- and programme-specific impact estimates
 - Economic impacts of both investment and operation and counterfactual
 - Can show how Policy X has different effects in different regions

Strengths and Weaknesses

Weaknesses

- But (as any other method) have limitations:
 - Fixed production structures (static approach)
 - Linear economic behaviour even for small changes?
 - Perfect supply elasticity
 - No allowance for price changes (exogenous or induced)
 - Growth (development, investment) not really modeled
 - Some policies apply to many sectors in unknown way (e.g. “soft” enterprise aids)

IO Analysis: Lessons and Recommendations

- Simple can be beautiful and operational
- Some theory is not too bad to have
- Utilize link different methods can be efficient
- Never forget weaknesses of methods; there is no perfect method
- Is it worth to expand to more sophisticated GE models?



Lessons & recommendations – Open Issues

- Need to follow up investment projects
- Need to design data collection very early on
- Data on GVA change is very important
- Perhaps need to specify method and then design data collection

Open Issues

- How fast and on time the required data will be collected
- The response of beneficiaries in participating in the field surveys



Contact information

Lianos Dimitrios, LKN Analysis , dlianos@lkn.gr

Prof. Psaltopoulos Demetris, University of Patras dempsa@upatras.gr

Maliotis Savvas, Filagro Group, savvasm@filagrogroup.com