

Zemkopības ministrija

Latvian Rural Development Programme 2014-2020 Measure M16 Cooperation







Aim of M16 «Cooperation»



among

Agricultural stakeholders



Forestry stakeholders



Agricultural processing stakeholders

in order to develop

New products, processes, technologies and methods in these sectors



Cooperation – submeasure 16.1. Innovative solutions at sectoral level

Beneficiaries

16.1.

EIP working group, composed of farmers (agricultural producers), forest owners (or legal possessors), processors of agricultural products (excluding fishery products), NGO or cooperative companies, representing them, researchers (also students), advisers and other parties interested in innovations



at least 3
partners,
where 1 is a
farmer or
forest owner

Projects to be supported:

- ✓ at least nationally significant
- ✓ facilitating the development of the sector in general
- √ focusing on creativity
- ✓ envisaging innovative solutions in the industry or its sector
- ✓ with a wider scope of potential beneficiaries

Preference is given to a wider cooperation group



Cooperation – submeasure 16.2.

New product, method, process or technology at least at <u>enterprise level</u>

Beneficiaries

16.2.

Group of potential beneficiaries, composed of farmers (agricultural producers), forest owners (or legal possessors), processors of agricultural products (excluding fishery products), NGO, representing them, researcher



At least 2 partners

Projects to be supported:

- ✓ envisaging novel solutions at the <u>enterprise level</u> (new products, methods, processes or technologies)
- ✓ offering a solution applicable in the industry or in sectors
- ✓ focusing on the development of a full production cycle
 (covers primary production and processing)

Preference is given to a wider cooperation group



Implementation scheme of M16.1.

Stage 1

Submits an application, describing:

- •Necessity for the project implementation
- •The attainable target
- •Economic usefulness of the project
- •Intended results, investments and activities to be implemented
- •Potential composition of EIP working group
- •Total envisaged funding in the Project
- Project risk analysis

Announces the call for ideas

Default

30 days

Beginning of acceptance

Projects are selected in two stages: Stage 1 – *The idea of the project*– a brief, concise description – A commission set up by the Managing Authority, involving experts from the field (at least 3)

Stage 2 – *detailed project* – evaluated by the Paying Agency, may attract relevant experts

Within 2 months MoA commission evaluates and makes a decision

Final decision of the Commission

Evaluation criteria:

- •Composition of partners of EIP working group
- Scope of application
- Contribution to industries and sectors
- Project quality
- Working capacity



Implementation scheme of M16.1.

Stage 2

Positive decision of the MoA commission

The applicant submits to RSS a detailed project application

PA evaluates and makes the final decision

Evaluation criteria:

- •Composition of partners of EIP working group
- Scope of application
- Contribution to industries and sectors
- Project quality
- Working capacity
- Project readiness
- •Dissemination of results
- •Submission of the Project application





Leading partner

Cooperation partners select the Leading partner, which:

- represents EIP working group or working group of potential beneficiaries
- submits the project application to Rural Support Service
- coordinates the project implementation
- receives the pre-payments and channels the funding further to cooperation partners in accordance to their implemented activities (submeasure 16.1.)



The Leading partner <u>is</u>
<u>responsible</u> for achievement
of results, planned in the
project



Implementation difficulties

- Involvement of experts LV is a small country; respective experts involved in projects potential conflict of interests;
- Application of criteria objective vs. subjective assessment?
- **Demarcation** between the innovation of the sector (M16.1.) vs. enterprise (M16.2.) not always obvious at the level of project idea
- Change of thinking responsible authorities should be more flexible; avoid loosing the objective of the project among all the details



Thank you for attention!

Ministry of Agriculture of Latvia Rural Development Support Department