APPROACH TO IMPLEMENTING THE HNV INDICATOR IN NAVARRA (NORTHERN SPAIN)

Good Practice Workshop Preparing the assessment of High Nature Value Farming in Rural Development Programmes 2014-2020



Bonn (Germany), 7-8 June 2016



Uxue Iragui (*uiraguiy@ganasa.es*) Carlos Astrain (*castraim@ganasa.es*) Merche Rodrigo (*mrodrigs@cfnavarra.es*)

INTRODUCTION

 With the aim of monitoring the Rural Development Programme of Navarra 2007-2013:



- The regional Government of Navarra (Northern Spain), implemented two studies: "Identification, characterization and monitoring of High Natural value Farming Systems in Navarra":
 - The first study in 2009 to calculate the indicator of the year **2008**
 - The second one in 2016 to recalculate the indicator of the year **2013** (currently in progress, using the methodology of 2008)

- HVNF were assumed to be as defined in the documents from the European Evaluation Network for Rural Development (2008):
 - TYPE 1: areas with a high proportion of **semi-natural vegetation**.
 - TYPE 2: areas in a **mosaic structure** with low level of intensification.
 - TYPE 3: areas that support **rare species** or a high proportion of European or World **populations**.



- Land use map created specifically for this project, at a 1:5.000 scale.
- Sources of information used:
 - SIGPAC (plot limits, ~1M plots)
 - CAP declaration
 (annual crops)
 - Land Use map, 1:25.000 (pastures and meadows)
- Division of Navarra in cells of 1km², to work at a landscape rather than plot level.



- TYPE 1 areas:
 - Agrarian semi-natural land uses (grassland), and non seminatural (meadows, crops...)



 % of semi-natural agrarian use in each 1km² cell







- TYPE 2 areas:
 - Mosaic of crops under extensive farming practices



TMP = 4,76 has ; DB = 0,13 km / ha ; IDS = 0,78 ; Valor final de heterogeneidad = 22,55

Low average patch size (ha)
 High density of borders (km/ha)
 High index of diversity of Simpson





- TYPE 3 areas:
 - 11 experts in flora and fauna were consulted, to know whether the survival of different species depended on a farming activity type
- The answer was positive in the case of STEPPE LAND BIRDS



• HNV areas: selection of the most valuable areas





• From HNV areas to HNV systems: CLUSTER analysis



Cluster analysis, high levels of... Grassland, meadows, sheep (Latxa), dairy cattle Grassland, pastureland, scrubland, meat cattle Orchards, crops, sheep (Navarra breed)

Fallow land, crops, sheep (Navarra breed)







Approach to implementing the HNV indicator in Navarra



MONITORING HNVF: At level of region (or country)

- The Government of Navarra is going to monitor the RDP 2007-2013 at the beginning and the end (2008 and 2013). The methodology is the same in both years of calculation
- In 2008, not one but a net of indicators were calculated

• The indicators of 2013 will be the baseline for the RDP 2014-2020

Field	Indicator	Value in Navarra (2008)	Units
Extension	HNVF hectares	332.329	has
	% over the total territory	31,98	%
Characteristics of farms	Number of autochthonous livestock units Sheep Cattle Horse	124.261 88.562 30.618 5.081	LU
	% over the total LU Sheep Cattle Horse	54,97 91,53 27,65 27,39	%
	Average patch size	4,90	has
	Edge density	0,20	km/ha
	Simpson Diversity Index	0,72	No units (from 0 to 1)
Census of the target species	Great bustard (<i>Otis tarda</i>) Black-bellied Sandgrouse (<i>Pterocles orientalis</i>) Pin-tailed Sandgrouse (<i>Pterocles alchata</i>)	30 450 - 750 400 - 450	Number of individuals





MONITORING HNVF: At a farming SYSTEM level

- Not one, but several indicators
- In 2011 the Cantabric HVN system was studied, and currently the Mediterranean mountains



• Typical HNV farm in the Cantabric area:

..... farmer of about 50 years old, with a farm size of 14,5 has and Latxa sheep breed. He maintains a livestock density of about 1 LU/ha, he mows brackens, low use of inputs, he keeps landscape elements in his plots,

 Useful to design future management schemes. For example, currently a pilot project is taking place to maintain the HNV areas of the Mediterranean mountains



SOME REFLECTIONS

- Information required to assess trends:
 - Monitoring several years allow to assess changes. To compare data from two different years, the **same methodology** should be used
 - **Spatial distribution** of the information in all the territory allows to know WHAT changes WHERE. In Navarra, we will know how much the semi-naturalness changed in which cells (Type 1), and how the mosaic values evolved in the last years



SOME REFLECTIONS

- Challenges and gaps:
 - In Navarra we haven't done a final map with a GRADIENT of values from low to high. How to combine the values of Type 1, Type 2 and Type 3 in a single gradient map?
 - There is no common methodology at a European level, so the data between countries or regions are **not comparable** at the moment
 - We know about HNV areas / plots / systems, but is it difficult to characterize the FARMS that support HNV



SOME REFLECTIONS

- Main lessons learned:
 - The Type 1, 2, 3 apprach is suitable to Navarra
 - The initial brainstorming can be high. The approach taken in Navarra cost **1.200 hours in 2008**, and **400 hours in 2013**
 - A **non-complicated methodology** is prefereable to understand and interpret the results. For example in Navarra the combination of 3 indexes in Type 2 identification worked well, but in some cells the results were difficult to interpret
 - Using data that is **updated frequently for all the territory** allows to repeat the process whenever needed. In Navarra, the data used is updated every year for all the territory

iigracias!! Vielen Dank!!