


profiles

Search

Total results: 49.



[**RURAL EVALUATION NEWS - Issue Number 19**](#) ^[1]

Discover articles on:


- Evaluator Insights for the Ex Ante Evaluation of CAP Strategic Plans
- Launch of the Evaluation Knowledge Bank
- The 16th Good Practice Workshop: 'Improving data management and information systems for


the purpose of CAP evaluations'


Expand your knowledge of:

- LUCAS 2022 Supporting Better Environmental Evaluations

File:

[EN](#) [2]  (32.37 MB)

[FR](#) [3]  (40.92 MB)

[DE](#) [4]  (40.86 MB)

Publication date:

July, 2021

Author(s):

The European Evaluation Helpdesk for Rural Development

Keywords:

- Data for evaluation, Data Management, Ex Ante Evaluation, Farming practices, The Farm Accountancy Data Network (FADN)

Program rozvoja vidieka SR 2014 – 2020

Kvantitatívne hodnotenie účinku
vybraných lesníckych opatrení PRV SR
2014–2020 za fokusové oblasti FO4 a FO5



Program
rozvoja vidieka SR
2014-2020




MINISTERSTVO
PODNIKOVÝCH
A ROKOVÝCH
SLOVENSKEJ REPUBLIKY

[Quantitative evaluation of the effects of selected forestry measures of the Slovak RDP 2014-2020 for the Focus Areas 4 and 5](#) [5]

This study creates a methodological framework and system of quantitative evaluation of medium-term and long-term impact within the RDP 2014-2020 for the following forestry measures: M08 -

Investments in the development of forest areas and improvement of forest viability (sub-measures 8.3 and 8.4); M12 - Natura 2000 payments and under the Water Framework Directive (sub-measure 12.2); M15 - Forest-environmental and climate services and forest protection (sub-measure 15.1).

File:

[SK](#) [6]  (14.05 MB)

Publication date:

June, 2021

Author(s):

National Forestry Centre, Zvolen Slovakia

Keywords:

- Environmental impacts

Farm Advisory Service Enhanced Monitoring and Evaluation

Prepared for Scottish Government


September 2019



[Scottish Farm Advisory Service: Enhanced monitoring and evaluation](#) [7]

This report was commissioned by the Scottish Government for Winning Moves to conduct a piece of research to explore the quality, focus and effectiveness of the Farm Advisory Service (FAS).

File:

[EN](#) [8]  (700.24 KB)

Publication date:


June, 2021

Author(s):

Scottish Government

Keywords:

- Advisory Services



[Evaluation of the Scottish Food Processing, Marketing and Co-operation Fund 2014-2020](#) ^[9]

The report explores applicants' and experts' experiences of applications and assessment procedures, and how the applicants' projects adhered to the objectives of the scheme and those of the Scottish Government.

File:

[EN](#) ^[10]  (1.31 MB)

Publication date:


June, 2021

Author(s):

Scottish Government

Keywords:

- Socio-economic impacts



[Tool 1.4 - Stakeholder mapping checklist](#) ^[11]

Tool 1.4 - Stakeholder mapping checklist: supports the identification of different actors who could be involved in the ex ante evaluation and SEA for the CAP Strategic Plan.

File:

[EN](#) ^[12]  (506.5 KB)

Publication date:

June, 2019

Author(s):

The European Evaluation Helpdesk for Rural Development

Keywords:

- Ex ante evaluation, Ex Ante Evaluation



Tool 3.1 - Examples of Guiding Questions for the Appraisal of the Intervention Strategy, Targets and Milestones [13]

Tool 3.1 - Examples of guiding questions for the appraisal of the intervention strategy, targets and milestones: provides suggestions of what questions evaluators may wish to explore while appraising the intervention strategy, targets and milestones of the CAP Strategic Plan.

File:

[EN](#) [14]  (552.78 KB)

Publication date:

October, 2019

Author(s):

The European Evaluation Helpdesk for Rural Development

Keywords:

- Ex ante evaluation, Ex Ante Evaluation

SUPPORTING RDP EVALUATION IN THE CZECH REPUBLIC: HOW TO BRING TOGETHER STAKEHOLDERS

FACTSHEET ON THE EUROPEAN EVALUATION HELPSIDEK FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT - OCTOBER 2018



SUPPORTING EVALUATION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL

National Rural Networks (NRNs) are uniquely positioned in EU rural development to play a vital role in supporting the evaluation of RDPs due to their interconnectedness with a wide variety of rural development actors. This support can be achieved in a variety of ways from capacity building to the dissemination of evaluation-related publications and findings. This factsheet focuses specifically on the support provided to the RDP evaluation by the Czech National Rural Network.

The Czech NRN operates as a division of the Managing Authority. The NRN has been allocated responsibilities at both the national and regional levels. At the regional level individual secretariats are responsible for the administrative functions and implementation of the NRN's activities. These regional NRNs are based within 7 regional Paying Agencies with 12 regional RDP coordinators.



THEMATIC WORKING GROUP ON MONITORING AND EVALUATION

In order to play a more active role in the evaluation process and to support evaluation actors the Czech NRN established a thematic working group (TWG) on monitoring and evaluation in the beginning of 2015, which meets at least twice a year. The NRN arranges the meetings of this TWG and covers all costs of the activities. Additionally, this group is chaired by the representative responsible for RDP evaluation from the Managing Authority.

The TWG serves as a consultation body for the Managing Authority and the Monitoring Committee. Members of the TWG consist of representatives from the Monitoring Committee, Managing Authority, Paying Agency, evaluators, universities and research institutions.

Five specific objectives have been defined for the TWG:

- sharing, dissemination and communication of monitoring and evaluation findings;
- facilitation of thematic and analytical exchanges linked to the evaluation of RDPs;
- participation in evaluation-related data collection activities;
- collection of examples of RDP evaluations;
- promotion of networking on evaluation (e.g. evaluator networks, etc.).



LEARN MORE ABOUT THE CZECH NATIONAL RURAL NETWORK

- [Czech National Rural Network Website](#)
- [Czech National Rural Network Profile](#)
- [Czech Republic National RDP Overview](#)



Výhled z údolí Český Crk

These objectives are primarily achieved through the TWG's main aim of providing support to the preparation of more detailed annual evaluation plans, which set out specific activities and propose how they should be coordinated for each individual year. These plans are later shared with the entirety of the Monitoring Committee. Furthermore, members of the TWG are informed of evaluation results from the previous period and those recommendations that follow. In this way, the thematic working group serves as a dedicated space for clearer feedback on evaluation activities throughout the evaluation process, to not only plan activities and provide support during the evaluation process, but also to judge after their implementation and conclusion. The TWG also serves as a means of coordination and cooperation between the RDP Managing Authority and other evaluation units responsible for the LRD, as well as the Czech national evaluation association, which covers private and

Supporting RDP Evaluation in the Czech Republic: How to Bring Together Stakeholders ^[15]

This factsheet focuses specifically on the support provided to the RDP evaluation by the Czech National Rural Network.

File:

[EN](#) ^[16]  (3.72 MB)

Publication date:

October, 2018

Author(s):

The Evaluation Helpdesk for Rural Development

Keywords:

- Evaluation governance, Evaluation plan, Data for evaluation, NRNs, Capacity Building for Evaluation



ASSESSING RDP EFFECTS ON WATER ABSTRACTION: A MIXED METHODS APPROACH FROM THE GREEK REGION OF THESSALY

FACTSHEET OF THE EUROPEAN EVALUATION HELPSIDE FOR RURAL DEVELOPMENT - MARCH 2020



MANAGING THE EARTH'S MOST VITAL RESOURCE

Water is one of the most vital resources on the planet and an essential and necessary input in crop production, however, under increasing pressure from climate change and other effects related to human activity, water and other natural resources have become increasingly scarce. Therefore, the sustainable management of natural resources is an essential step in generating not only food and food production, but the vast majority of activities that sustain today's societies.

The overall CAP objective of 'ensuring the sustainable management of natural resources and climate action', highlights the need for the integrated and sustainable management of water resources. Impact Indicator 1.3 (water abstraction) is one of the primary indicators used to measure water management in agriculture. Targeting water abstraction with RDP measures helps to manage water as a constrained natural resource, which is important for people, nature and agricultural production. Water abstraction is addressed through rural development Priority 4 (ecosystems related to agriculture and forestry, notably through Focus Area 4B (improving water management) and Priority 5 (resource efficiency) and Focus Area 5A (increasing efficiency in water use by agriculture).

The main measures that contribute directly to these focus areas in Greece in relation to water abstraction are (in order of financial weight):

1. M54 (investment in physical assets),
2. M51 (organic agriculture),
3. M50.3 (agri-environment and climate commitments),
4. M12 (Rural 2000 and Water Framework Directive).

Secondary contributions are also provided through M51 and M50.2 (knowledge transfer and advisory services) and M16 (cooperation).

In rural development programmes closer related to water abstraction are assessed through answering the common evaluation questions (CEQs):

- CEQ 26: 'To what extent has the RDP contributed to improving the environment and to achieving the EU biodiversity strategy target of halting the loss of biodiversity and the degradation of ecosystem services, and to restore them?'



FURTHER INFORMATION

Trainers:

Dimitris Stasin

University of Patras, Greece

For additional information on the evaluation and model:

<https://www.evaluationhelpdesk.eu/evaluation-models>

www.evaluationhelpdesk.eu

Map 1: Water Distribution Map Greece



- CEQ 26: 'To what extent has the RDP contributed to the CAP objective of ensuring sustainable management of natural resources and climate action?'



USING A MIXED APPROACH TO ASSESS THE RDP'S EFFECTS ON WATER ABSTRACTION WHEN DATA IS SCARCE

This factsheet focuses on the evaluation of water abstraction conducted in the region of Thessaly. This assessment was completed in roughly one month and the results were used to report on this indicator in the AIR 2019 together with the results from a larger macro level assessment.

Assessing RDP Effects on Water Abstraction: A Mixed Methods Approach from the Greek Region of Thessaly ^[17]

This factsheet focuses on the evaluation of water abstraction conducted in the region of Thessaly. This assessment was completed in roughly one month and the results were used to report on this indicator in the AIR 2019 together with the results from a larger macro level assessment.

File:

[EN](#) ^[18] (5.56 MB)

Publication date:

March, 2020

Evaluation Type:

- AIR submitted in 2019

Author(s):

The European Evaluation Helpdesk for Rural Development

Keywords:

- Environmental impacts, Annual implementation reports, Mixed approaches, Water Abstraction, RDP Impacts, Organic Agriculture, Climate Change, AIR 2019, Agri-environment



[Interactive Decision Tool for I.12](#) ^[19]

This interactive decision tool is for impact indicator:

I.12 Soil organic matter in arable land

File:

[EN](#) ^[20]  (4.44 MB)

Publication date:

April, 2020

Author(s):

The European Evaluation Helpdesk For Rural Development

Keywords:

- Data for evaluation, Soil Organic Matter in Arable Land, Data



SOCIAL RESEARCH NUMBER:
41/2021
PUBLICATION DATE:
10/06/2021

Evaluation of the Knowledge Transfer, Innovation and Advisory Services Programme



Evaluation of the knowledge transfer, innovation and advisory services scheme in Wales [21]

An independent assessment of the support offered to farmers and the agricultural sector as part of the Farming Connect Service.

File:

[EN](#) [22]  (3.67 MB)

Publication date:

June, 2021

Author(s):

Welsh Government

Keywords:

- Evaluation of Innovation

Pages

[1](#)

[2](#) [23]

[3](#) [24]

[4](#) [25]

[5](#) [26]

[next >](#) [23]

[last »](#) [26]

Source URL:

https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/profiles/multisite_drupal_communities/libraries/fancybox/helpers/jquery.fancybox-thumbs.css_en

Links

[1] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/rural-evaluation-news-issue-number-19_en

[2] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/newsletter-19_en.pdf

[3] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/newsletter-19_fr.pdf

[4] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/newsletter-19_de.pdf

[5] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/quantitative-evaluation-effects-selected-forestry-measures-slovak-rdp-2014_en

[6] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/kvantitativne_hodnotenie_ucinku_vybranych_lesnickych_opatreni_prv_sr_2014-2020_za_fokusove_oblasti_fo4_a_fo5.pdf

[7] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/scottish-farm-advisory-service-enhanced-monitoring-and-evaluation_en

[8] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/farm-advisory-service-enhanced-monitoring-evaluation-prepared-scottish-government.pdf

[9] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/evaluation-scottish-food-processing-marketing-and-co-operation-fund-2014_en

[10] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/evaluation-food-processing-marketing-co-operation-fund-2014-2020.pdf

[11] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/tool-14-stakeholder-mapping-checklist_en

[12] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/tool_1_4_stakeholders_0.pdf

[13] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/tool-31-examples-guiding-questions-appraisal-intervention-strategy-targets_en

[14] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/tool_3_1_guiding_questions.pdf

[15] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/supporting-rdp-evaluation-czech-republic-how-bring-together-stakeholders_en

[16] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/fs-013-cz-nrn.pdf

[17] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/assessing-rdp-effects-water-abstraction-mixed-methods-approach-greek-region_en

[18] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/fs-020-gr-water_abstraction.pdf

[19] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/interactive-decision-tool-i12_en

[20] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/tool_i12.ppsx

[21] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/evaluation-knowledge-transfer-innovation-and-advisory-services-scheme-wales_en

[22] https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/evaluation_publications/evaluation-of-the-knowledge-transfer-innovation-a

nd-advisory-services-programme_0.pdf

[23]

https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/profiles/multisite_drupal_communities/libraries/fancybox/helpers/jquery.fancybox-thumbs.css_en?page=1

[24]

https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/profiles/multisite_drupal_communities/libraries/fancybox/helpers/jquery.fancybox-thumbs.css_en?page=2

[25]

https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/profiles/multisite_drupal_communities/libraries/fancybox/helpers/jquery.fancybox-thumbs.css_en?page=3

[26]

https://enrd.ec.europa.eu/evaluation/publications/profiles/multisite_drupal_communities/libraries/fancybox/helpers/jquery.fancybox-thumbs.css_en?page=4