



Leader Focus Groups 1, 2 and 3: Results

Ave Bremse, Estonian NRN
Coordination Committee, 9 December 2010
Brussels







- > FG 1: Implementation of the bottom up approach
 - Lead: ELARD & IT NRN
 - 20 MS + 3 NGOs
 - 2+3 meetings
- > FG 2: Preserving the innovation/experimental character of Leader
 - Lead: DE & NL NRNs
 - 12 MS
 - 2 meetings
- > FG 3: Implementation of the "cooperation" measure
 - Lead: EE & FI NRNs
 - 13 MS + 1 NGO
 - 2+3 meetings

MS representatives include MA, PA, NRN and LAG level





- Identification of main difficulties / obstacles in the implementation of Leader axis and good practice
- Reflection on possible solutions
- Definition of recommendations for the future





3 major LEADER implementation models



- Decentralisation of project selection competence
- Decentralisation of project selection and payment competence
- Decentralisation of project approval competence





Focus Group 1: Main Issues (first step)

- 1. Lack of clear distinction of roles of MA and LAGs
- 2. LAG capacity constraints
- 3. Inability of LAGs to implement complex and integrated local strategy
 - Predominance of measure by measure approach
 - Lack of complex projects
- 4. Unsuitability of rules for LEADER approach
 - No specific rules for Leader typical projects (small projects or coordination projects)
 - Non differentiation of eligibility rules for Leader projects
- 5. Financial rules frustrate LAG efforts
 - Match funding issues
 - Excessive form filling
- 6. Control system discourages and contradicts the LEADER approach
 - Accreditation requirement too onerous
 - Non-involvement of LAGs in controls
 - Threat of 3% sanction







Focus group 1: Main issues (step 2)

Specific aspects of Leader implementation – analysis:

- **1. LAG running costs** <u>difficulties</u>: securing bank guarantees for advances; providing "own funding"; ineligibility for VAT; payment delays.
- **2. Complex projects** projects consisting of <u>several operations</u> package of actions eligible under several measures or under a Leader specific integrated measure.
- **3. Small scale projects** <u>positive aspects</u>: simplified documentation; faster procedure; not so much heavy responsibility for LAGs.





Focus Group 2: Main issues

Definition of innovation in RDPs or LAG level:

Some examples: new methods, new products, new policy, new service, new process, new involvement, new combinations, new collaborations new......

Main aspects discussed:

- 1. Eligibility rules
- 2. Control rules
- 3. National co-funding
- 4. Eligibility to one or several EU funds





Focus Group 3: Main Issues

Four main issues discussed:

- 1. Different <u>timing</u> in decision-making and different <u>administrative rules</u>
- 2. Different expectations towards beneficiaries in different programmes (eg <u>definitions of common action and common costs</u>)
- 3. <u>Information needs</u> of different partners involved in implementation
- 4. What are the <u>key areas</u> in which cooperation projects are most needed?







EN RD dissemination of Focus Groups products

Through different channels:

- Website:
 - Public
 - MyEN RD
- TWG 4
- New LAG event and others
- TNC Guide
- Case briefs/studies:
 - Articles in publications
 - RDP project database

Connecting Rural Europe

To different target groups:

- NRNs, MAs, PAs
- RD organisations
- LAGs



- DG AGRI
- Other DGs
- Wider public







THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Contact: info@enrd.eu

Website: http://enrd.ec.europa.eu/