



### **Focus Group 1**

"Implementation of the bottom-up approach under LEADER Axis"

"specific aspects of implementation: running costs, complex and small scale projects"



Raffaella Di Napoli, Italy NRN

Leader subcommittee, 12 November 2010, Brussels



Connecting Rural Europe





# **Running Costs**

The greatest obstacle is the 20% ceiling in programmes following the "third model" in the implementation of Leader and including animation costs.

In some cases this problem is compounded by difficulties arising in:

- securing bank guarantees for advances;
- •providing "own funding"
- ineligibility for VAT;
- payment delays.







# **Eligibility of running costs**

 Consistency as regard the main categories of expenditure (operating costs, personnel costs, training costs)

#### • Inconsistencies:

- the "insurance, banking charges, etc" financial costs (almost equal split between RDPs treating costs as eligible or non-eligible);
- office rent (not eligible in EE, DE-Bayern, DE-Brandenburg, PT);
- remuneration of LAG board members (eligible in PL and ES but not in EL).







# **Running Costs**

Two funding systems are applied:

- •one is based on **advance payments** which are subsequently reconciled with actual expenditure
- the other is based refund payments
- •Both approaches have similarities in claim/payment frequencies and timings/delays, which in some cases are considered as too bureaucratic and slow







- **Definition:** projects consisting of several operations package of actions eligible under several measures or under a Leader specific integrated measure and designed to implement a part of a local development strategy
- In the vast majority of programmes the measure-by-measure approach is predominant
- •In a few programmes support to complex projects is possible:
  - Approved projects: BE-Flanders, CZ, DE-Bayern, IE, ES (Aragon, Cataluña);
  - Process launched for project approval : EL, IT (Piemonte, Calabria);







## **Programmes supporting Complex Projects**

#### **Diverse approaches:**

- Thematic focus: "quality of place" in BE-Flanders, "tourism and local products" in EL, "cooperation" in ES-Cataluña;
- Limitation to Axis 3: BE-Flanders, IE, EL, IT-Piemonte, or
- Axis 4: DE-Bayern, ES-Aragon, ES-Cataluña;
- only in very few cases (CZ, IT-Calabria) it is possible to combine measures of Axes 1 and 2 with other measures.







# **Complex Projects**

Practical experiences obtained:

- "main project/ project chain " concept: main measure of the project representing more than 50% of eligible costs and secondary measures (CZ), predominance of the main measure rules (EL)
- single application for project using several measures (BE-Flanders, CZ, EL, DE-Bayern)
- recognition of complex projects in the project management I.T. tool.
  (BE-Flanders, CZ, EL, DE-Bayern)







There are two broad categories of schemes:

- Formally established at RDP-level with own rules: FI, PL and LV, and one is planned in LT.
- LAG-level schemes ("local schemes for small projects") with the status of an approved project providing small grants (e.g. in SE and UK-Scotland)







# Small Scale projects at RDP level

- •RDP-based schemes extensively used: 587 projects approved in Latvia and 1,335 contracts signed in Poland by October 2010.
- Generally assessed positively
- Progress made towards greater simplification (especially in the case of Latvia)
- In Poland simplification already done considered as not sufficient
- In Finland some LAGs are a little bit afraid of the responsibility of coordinating small sub-projects







The experience of the LAG-based schemes offering a small grants / fast procedure has attracted a clear-cut and positive assessment in both programmes covered by the responses to the 2nd questionnaire:

- "simpler and faster" (UK-Scotland);
- "simpler" documentation for the applicant, a faster procedure and not so much heavy responsibility, the LAG take that one" (Sweden).





## THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

For further information please contact the Contact Point of the EN RD at the following e-mail address: <a href="mailto:info@enrd.eu">info@enrd.eu</a>

