





Thematic Working Group on « Delivery mechanisms of EU Rural Develoment Policy»

- State of play and next steps -

Peter Wehrheim
Unit G1, Coherence of Rural Development,
DG Agriculture and Rural Development
Joint meeting of the TWG and the ENRD, 7 June 2010, Brussels



1 Introduction



Why look into delivery mechanisms?

- Delivery mechanisms, i.e. the shared management mode associated with operational requirements of EAFRD support, determine <u>how</u> rural development support <u>is implemented</u> and <u>how effective</u> it is
- Delivery mechanisms are <u>decisive for</u> the <u>outcome</u> of EAFRD interventions and the associated <u>value</u> added.



1 Introduction



Aim of the TWG:

- to make an assessment of current delivery mechanisms related to the EU's rural development policy
- drawing lessons with a view to make the design and implementation of EU rural development policy more efficient and effective



1 Introduction



Difficulties:

- Significant complexity and variation of the delivery systems for different measures and in different Member States
- Assessment of delivery mechanisms is difficult because the identification of the associated effects is not always obvious and judgements are sometimes subjective
- Trade-offs inevitable e.g. between simplification and flexibility



2 Issues



- Two perspectives which are of interest:
 - EU Member State: Top-Down
 - Member State beneficiary: Bottom-Up
- Delivery mechanisms at different stages
 - Programming and strategy
 - Implementation
 - Control and follow-up



2 Delivery issues related to <u>programming and strategy</u>



Strategic approach and targeting

3-level strategic approach implemented for the first time in this period – What are ist effects?

Coherence and complementarity – coordination with other EU instruments/funds

How can complementarity be improved further to increase synergies between different EU funds?

Programming

- Analysis, strategy, setting-up of programmes
- Level of detail in specification of measures



2 Delivery issues related to <u>implementation</u>



Implementation procedures

deadlines for applications, selection, payments

Architecture of the policy, axes, measures

 Coverage: details of specification of measures, basic legal act, eligibility rules, selection criteria

Partnership principle

 Partnership between Member States and Commission; Stakeholder involvement

Integrated, place-based development strategies - Leader

What are the effects of mainstreaming Leader



2 Delivery issues related to control and follow-up



Common Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

 Which elements need to be enhanced to better identify effects which are due to EAFRD interventions?

Controls

- Neither the need nor the obligations related to controls are in question
- But, which procedures on controls are implemented and how could they be simplified?
- Obligations of the beneficiaries of aid



Work done to date ... and next steps



Work done to date

- 2 meetings
- Work plan established
- First 2 case studies conducted (Austria and Emiglia Romagna/Italy) and preliminary results presented in 2nd meeting (May 2010)
- Presentation of results from related studies (e.g. Rural development instruments study, RuDi Study)
- First discussion on effects of co-financing rates



Work done to date ... and next steps



Next steps:

- Work on first case-studies to be completed
- Additional four case-studies (Bulgaria, Ireland, Spain/Cataluña, Latvia) will be carried out before the summer break
- Circulation of draft reports to TWG and discussion
- Synthesis of first case-studies in draft step 1 report (September)
- Refinement of approach and another six casestudies by end of the year





Many thanks for your attention!!!