

# Outcomes of survey results from the National and Regional Rural Networks

**Leader Sub Committee, Brussels, 28 April 2009**

**Martin Law**

**EN RD Contact Point**

## Outline of presentation

- 1. Overview of NRN survey results – setting the scene**
- 2. Summary of the Transnational Cooperation Survey – NRNs**
- 3. Summary of the Transnational Cooperation Survey – LAGs**
- 4. Outcomes**

# 1. Indications from the survey of NRNs related to the Leader axis

## 1.1 Priorities of the network strategy by ranking

(as presented at the 'Capacity Building seminar' 30-31.03.09)

- **Establishing effective and sustainable networks, stimulating actors, and increasing cooperation between rural actors**
- **Identification, analysis and dissemination of transferable good/best practices**
- **Organising seminars and training programmes, cooperation with the EN RD and other networks**
- **Facilitating cooperation**

# 1. Indications from the survey of NRNs related to the Leader axis

## 1.2 Network activities (by ranking) to support the priorities

(as presented at the 'Capacity Building seminar' 30-31.03.09)

- **Organisational tasks:** *establishing the networks, stimulating actors, and increasing cooperation between rural actors*
- **Communication and exchange:** *identification, analysis and dissemination of transferable good/best practices*
- **Coordination and animation:** *organising seminars and training programmes, cooperation with the EN RD and other networks*
- **Assistance to Axis 4:** *facilitating cooperation*



# 1. Indications from the survey of NRNs related to the Leader axis

## 1.3 Some identified challenges: (as presented at the 'Capacity Building seminar' 30-31.03.09)

### Short term:

- **Motivation of stakeholders,**
- **Tools and documentation for inter-territorial and transnational cooperation projects, also with third countries,**
- **Establishing networks or links between EN RD and national TWGs,**
- **Implementation of TNC and best practices.**

### Long term:

- **Providing the necessary long-term support for:**
  - **- the NRN structure itself**
  - **- the beneficiaries of the EAFRD**
  - **- networking and cooperation**

## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.1 Basis for the analysis:

- **The information provided is based on the responses to the 'Survey of National Rural Networks' carried out by the EN RD Contact Point between December 2008 and mid-March 2009.**
- **Replies were received from 25 (out of a possible 31) rural networks representing 23 Member States**
- **Please note that not all questions were answered by all NRNs**

## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.2 How do the NRNs see their role in TNC:

#### (ranked by frequency)

- Seminars, trainings, participation in (European) events\*  
*Example of the forthcoming event in June in **Spain** (Seville)*
- Promotional activities for TNC  
*Through websites, magazines, good practices, funding preparatory visits, information in general, showing the added value of co-operation*
- Support the Partner Search\*
- Exchange of experiences and know-how  
***Finnish NRN** event in Lapland (march 2009) had a cooperation fair where LAGs from different countries could post 'partner searches'*
- Transfer of knowledge (relevant experiences, guidelines), publications\*

\* Tools/services already in place in some Member States

## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.3 Planned support functions (ranked by frequency):

- Seminars and workshops
- Specific trainings

***Austria:** Planning of Coaching of new LAGs by LAG managers with TNC experience*

- Guides and handbooks

***Denmark:** Guide on: introduction of cooperation projects in paper and electronic form: **Czech Republic:** Rules for beneficiaries regarding measure IV.2.1 of LEADER:*

- Others: websites and databases, study trips, mentoring and methodological support



## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.4 The most active stakeholders in TNC (ranked by frequency):

- **Public organisations**  
*Such as museums and schools linked to specific projects such as 'cultural heritage', tourism*
- **Local municipalities**
- **Farmers, Farmers Associations, Agricultural Chambers**
- **Poland:** *Farmers Associations; Agricultural Chambers; Rural Development and environmental Foundations and Associations; Regional and local self – government units; Representatives of businesses; Agricultural High schools*
- **Tourism organisations**
- **Local and regional development agencies (as regional partners)**  
**Spain:** *Association of national and regional rural development networks; Universities, cooperatives; councils; farmers unions and associations; environmentalist NGOs*
- **Rural communities Portugal:** *working on 'craft projects'*

**Most of the active stakeholders are related to Axis 3**

## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.5 Most popular topics for TNC (ranked by frequency): (ranked by frequency)

- **Tourism**
- **Cultural heritage**
- **Exchange of experience/capacity building**

*Hungary, Poland, Slovenia, Sweden, UK-Northern Ireland, UK-Wales: Is a mix of both old and new MS*

- **Nature and environmental issues**

*Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Spain and UK: all old MS*

**Many of these popular topics are related to Axis 3**

## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.6 : Most pressing needs in **developing** TNC projects: (ranked by priority need)

- **Administrative procedures**
- **Identification of suitable partners**
- **Coordination of the potential partnership**
- **Management of the potential partnership**
- **Defining clear aims and objectives**
- **Establishing realistic targets linked to local strategies**
- **Others: language barriers, financial problems**

## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.7 : Most pressing needs in **implementing** TNC projects: (ranked by priority need)

- **Operation management**
- **Joint monitoring**
- **Keeping actual achievements aligned with local strategies**



## 2. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - NRNs

### 2.8 : Expectations about help from the Contact Point: (ranked by frequency)

- **Support in partner search**
- **Information/support on rules and procedures**
- **Website with databases**
- **Conferences, seminars and workshops (on TNC)**
- **Good practices**
- **TNC Guide + methodological material**
- **Project Database**

## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.1 Basis for the analysis:

- **The information provided is based on the responses to the 'Survey of Local Action Groups' (coordinated by the NRNs)**
- **It was carried out by the EN RD Contact Point between December 2008 and mid-March 2009.**
- **Replies were received from 167 LAGs, representing 23 National and Regional Rural Networks and 20 Member States**
- **Please note that not all questions were answered by all LAGs**

## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.1 Experience of TNC project implementation:

- **53% of LAGs have previous experience in project implementation**
- **Of these 84% are already aware about the selection criteria and approval procedures of their partners**

## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.2 : Most difficult stages of implementation (ranked by frequency)

- **Preparation/application:** the different administrative procedures, finding common objectives/priorities, funding
- **Initiation:** finding common objectives, finding partners, different administration procedures, obtaining funding
- **Implementation:** administrative obstacles, lack of partner interest
- **Completion:** different administrative procedures, general project management
- **Evaluation:** process is time consuming



## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.3 : Main support expected to help overcome difficulties: (ranked by frequency)

- **Updated information: LAGs, eligible measures, timetable of approvals, approval procedures in different Member States**
- **Support for Partner Search**
- **Seminars, trainings, communication of 'know-how' (for LAGs and administrative bodies)**
- **Standardisation and simplification of TNC administrative procedures and rules**

## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.4: Preparation of TNC projects:

- **51% of LAGs are currently preparing TNC projects:**
  - Common topics linked to cultural/historical/natural heritage; tourism; environment and local products
  - Other topics: young-people, village exchange, renewable energy
- **On average 6.5 partners per project**
- **79% involve stakeholders with previous experience**
- **47% are projects continuing from Leader+**

## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.5 : Most pressing need/difficulty when **developing** TNC Projects: (ranked by frequency)

- **Coordination of the potential partnership: language, distance, time constraints, legal & financial procedures**
- **Identification of suitable partners: knowledge of national programmes allowing cooperation under axes 1 & 2**
- **Management of the potential partnership: assessing project feasibility, costing and finance, reaching partnership agreement, language/translation**
- **Administrative procedures**

## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.6 : Most pressing needs/difficulties of being project leader/partner when **implementing** TNC projects: (ranked by frequency)

- Operations management: extent to which all partners participate, resourcing for follow-up and quality assurance
- Administrative procedures
- Keeping actual achievements in line with local strategies
- Joint monitoring: progress review (for operations management, reporting and evaluation)



## 3. Summary of the results of the TNC survey - LAGs

### 3.7 : Priorities for solving pressing needs/difficulties (ranked by priority)

- Guide which shows: added value of TNC, project management tools for all the project stages; information about successful implementation; the different steps of implementation; conflict management, problem solving
- Overview of administrative rules and procedures in the Member States; overview and description of LAGs
- Defining clear rules for the different project partners
- Simplifying documentation and administrative procedures
- Supporting partner search
- Trainings, workshops, and meetings

## 4. Outcomes about TNC

### 4.1 There are common needs and priorities for NRNs and LAGs :

- Three main groupings can be clearly identified:
  - Understanding rules and procedures about TNC
  - Identification of suitable partners
  - Coordination and management of potential partnerships

## 4. Outcomes about TNC

### 4.2 Expected solutions for dealing with needs/priorities :

- Three main activities linked to specific tools and services:
  - An Integrated European Cooperation Guide: *Understanding rules and procedures about TNC*
  - Partner Search Tool and web- based databses: *Identification of suitable partners*
  - Provide know-how (through targeted support activities): *Coordination and management of potential partnerships*

## Feedback of surveys from the National and Regional Rural Networks

**Thank you for your attention!**