


Results of the 'Criteria for LAG & LDS selection' survey

**2nd meeting of the CLLD CLUSTER
Ana Pires da Silva, PT NRN
27 November 2013
DIJON - FRANCE**



14 MS answered the questionnaire:

**ES, BG, SK, CY, UK, EE, BE, SI, HU, LV,
DK, LT, IT, SV**

Do you select the LDS or the LAG?

79% - Both (LAG and LDS)

Latvia answered LDS

In how many phases is the selection process organized?

BL, CY, EE will have 1 phase.

Hu – Have not decided yet

Most of them answered **2 phases**:

1st- Assessment based on minimal access requirements;
2nd- Assessment based on the quality of LDS(SWOT analysis, thematic compliance with territory needs, etc)

OR

1st-Selection of LAG
2nd – Selection of LDS

In how many phases is the selection process organized?

OR

1st-Selection of LDS made by 2 external evaluators

2nd - Selection Committee at MA level will make final decision based on the results of 1st. phase.

How do you do the weighting of all the parameters of the selection criteria? Do you use any formula? Which one?

7 – not decided yet

Different selection criteria (different weighting, expressed in %).

IT – Quality of LDS: 63,5%; Quality of the partnership: 24,1%; Features of the area: 12,4%.

BG – Quality of LDS: 50 points; Capacity building in implementing the strategy: 50 points; Quality of partnership: 10 points; Quality of the process of building a partnership: 10 points.

What is the role of the NRN/NSU in the LAG and LDS selection, in your country?

Slovakia and Estonia are the only MS playing a role in the LAG and LDS selection. A representative of NRN/NSU will be part of the MA selection committee for LAG.

All the other

- Only informative role and training if needed;
- To give support to the LAGs in the design of their LDS;
- Gathering and disseminate guidelines for LSD;
- Consultant and technical support.

Which entities/organizations are involved in LDS/LAG selection in your country? What are the tasks/role of each of those entities

MA: BE, IT, HU, SI, SE (Regional and National MA – final approval)

MA and PA: DK (and external experts), LT

Selection Committee/Technical Evaluation Committee:

ES – representatives of MA, social and economic sectors of the territory;

EE – Public entities and main stakeholders organizations;

CY – Public entities.

Which entities/organizations are involved in EDL/GAL selection in your country? What are the tasks/role of each of those entities

MA + Ministry of agriculture + other public entities: BG

Local municipalities+PA+Ministry of agriculture (Final decision): LV

Will you have in your country a mono or multifunded CLLD implementation ? In each case how do you ensure the coherence of the LDS with the other strategies (of higher level)?

Mono-Funded: BE, BG, EE, LV, ES (or multi-funded depending of the regions)

Multi-Funded: HU, SE, CY, LT

Undecided: SI, IT, SK

Developing: UK, DK (EAFRD, EMFF)

Coherence ensured by good demarcation from the start, coordination of procedures by national entities, guidance on the design of LDS ensuring that LDS fits with national/international priorities

What are the themes to be covered by the strategies?

LAG decision: SI, HU, CY, ES

Not decided yet: IT, SE

Themes:

- Choose maximum 3 themes from a given list:BE;
- Tourism, support for small farms and organic farming:BG;
- Job creation:DK;

What are the themes to be covered by the strategies?

- Priority areas of the RDP, relevant sections of EMFF and i.e.: Short supply chains, supporting social enterprise; Enhancing rural services, natural heritage, tourism, etc:UK;
- LDS are going to be built on these main thematic objectives: promoting social inclusion poverty reduction and economic development in rural areas; environment and resource efficiency, employment promotion and working capital flow: LT.
- - Priority 6B: EE

Thanks for your attention

Ana Pires da Silva – anasilva@dgadr.pt