PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Workshop 1 Communicating Rural Development with the broader public

CRD Event - Vilnius, Lithuania
3 - 4 March 2014









PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Workshop 1 - The Speakers



Arunas Svitojus



Ave Bremse



Cecile Schalenbourg



Patricia Libert



Luís Chaves



Tamás Cselóski



Thomas Müller

Thank You!













PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Workshop 1 – The Questions

Planning and implementing communication campaigns – are there systematic differences when the target is a broader public?

Are the 'best' communication tools to reach the broader public different for different types of organisations?

...and what are they?

How is your communication approach coordinated with other institutions and organisations?

The role for Networks (NRNs and others)...?





PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communication rural development to the broader public

- Communication as a Policy instrument
- Communicating as part of the getting the job ('rural development') done
- As an integral and essential part of local, community level projects

There are differences but also similarities







PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communicating rural development to the broader public 1.

- Keep it real and relevant:
 - The need for the message to match reality / Real and true rural stories
 - Livelihoods in focus
- **Start from values** and move towards strategies and do not lose the link between them values that communities share
- Keep the vocabulary simple: match the message to the target group
- Multipliers: give journalists a good story and a good lunch
- *Timeliness* of information distribution; better coordination between different actors
- For results: time and more time for planning
- Consistency between policy and action: Communication cannot counteract the contradiction between policy statements and implementation practice









PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communicating rural development to the broader public 2.

- Tools: Using new tools (e.g. Storify) + Using the web, social media, and videos more
- Testing your tools: e.g. the qualitative studies in the CAP campaign
- Not losing sight of some misconceptions communicated through the media
- *Targeting:* in the CAP campaign (urban dwellers under age 40 disconnected from RD, farmers, teachers) to delivery or targeting children through educational programs or targeting families and communities
- Four levels where communication is essential (LT): family, community, society, transnational networking + establish good communication between NGOs and government organisations







PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communication rural development to the broader public

- What tools for what organisations
 Social media more practicable
 for local organisations going wider
- Invest in content that can be multipurpose
- Time, more time for planning for results









PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communication rural development to the broader public

Institutional policy networks

- Now formally mandated
- Networks best multipliers, good means of `reality-check` of messages
- New ways of working are being worked out (e.g. NRN with Communictions contractor). The NRNs are very well placed – they have the 'content' and the 'contacts'





PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communication rural development to the broader public

Institutional policy networks

- More integrated approaches are emerging (meaning not just using different media and tools – but actually for the same 'stories' and info-pieces using several media (often SM) that are mutually reinforcing.
- Time and resources
- Capacity to coordinate





PEOPLE. PROJECTS. IDEAS.



Communication rural development to the broader public

And finally...

To be successful communication needs to be two way

- not just 'informing the broaader public of the benefits' but also providing a practical feedback loop to policy makers and implementing bodies and project holders.
- Thus exchange is a must which puts rural networks in an unique position to successfully communicate rural development to the broader

